

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FI		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,631	01/06/2004		Kazushige Takechi	Q79065	3211
23373	7590 10/19/2006			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE		LLC A AVENUE, N.W.	NGUYEN, JOSEPH H		
SUITE 800	I L V ANI	A AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037	2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			631	TAKECHI, KAZUS	SHIGE			
			er	Art Unit				
		Joseph	Nguyen	2815				
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on t	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ldress			
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE ME may be available under the provisions INTHS from the mailing date of this commoreply is specified above, the maximum state within the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. Intutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>29 Septembe</u>	<u>r 2006</u> .		•			
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)□ This action is	non-final.					
3)☐ Since t	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed	in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> (	Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition of C	laims		·					
4) Claim(s	s) <u>1,3-7,27,28 and 30</u> is/are p	ending in the applic	eation.					
	he above claim(s) is/a							
5) Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1,3-7,27,28 and 30</u> is/are re	ejected.						
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)☐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		,				
	wing(s) filed on 06 January 2		cepted or b)	objected to by the Examir	ner.			
Applica	nt may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s	) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)⊡ The oat	h or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.🛛 (	Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.					
	Certified copies of the priority							
	Copies of the certified copies	• •		en received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internatio	•						
* See the	attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies no	ot received.				
		•						
Amahara-4/ \								
Attachment(s)	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Tatoniou	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Dis Paper No(s)/M</li> </ol>	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date		5)	f Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/751,631

Art Unit: 2815

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takayama et al. (US 2003/0089913A1) in view of Burroughes et al. (US 6592969 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Takayama et al. discloses in figures 10 (showing the same embodiment) a flexible electronic device comprising a flexible film 201 (film 210 if formed of plastic which is flexible (para [0102]); a substrate 202 disposed on the flexible film, the substrate being different from the material of said flexible film (element 202 is a film insulating element 201 and thus element 202 must be different from the material of element 201 (para [0102]); and an electrically active thin film device (figure 10E) wherein an electrically active layer 203 (para [0104]) of the electrically active thin film device is disposed directly on the substrate 202. It is noted that element 202 is a thin supporting material on which a thin film transistor is formed, as such can be construed as "substrate".

Takayama et al. does not disclose the thickness of the substrate being larger than 0 µm and not larger than 200 µm. However, Burroughes et al. discloses the

Art Unit: 2815

thickness of the substrate is less than 100  $\mu$ m (larger than 0  $\mu$ m and not larger than 200  $\mu$ m) to form a flexible electronic device (col. 1, lines 26-30). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify Takayama et al. by including thickness of the substrate being larger than 0  $\mu$ m and not larger than 200  $\mu$ m to form a flexible electronic device.

Regarding claim 3, Takayama et al. discloses in figure 10C the thin film device comprises a thin film transistor formed of a silicon thin film (para [0106]).

Regarding claim 4, Takayama et al. discloses the substrate 202 is an insulating substrate (para [0102]).

Regarding claim5, substrate 4 as shown in figure 1 of Burroughes et al. is formed of glass (col. 6, line 12), which is an insulating material.

Regarding claim 6, Takayama et al. discloses in figure 10A the flexible film 201 is plastic (para [0102]).

Claims 7, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takayama et al. and Burroughes et al. in view of Ishida (US 4,661,428).

Regarding claims 7, 28 and 30, Takayama et al. and Burroughes et al. disclose substantially all the structure set forth in the claimed invention except the flexible film having a thermal conductivity higher than 0.01 W/cm deg. Note that Takayama et al. discloses the flexible film 201 is formed of plastic (para [0102]) and applicant discloses a copper film has a thermal conductivity of 4.0 W/cm deg, which is higher than 0.01 W/cm deg (col. 11, lines 19-20). However, Ishida discloses the flexible film can be

Art Unit: 2815

formed of plastic or copper (col. 4, lines 51-56). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Takayama et al. and Burroughes et al. by having the flexible film formed of copper, which has a thermal conductivity higher than 0.01 W/cm deg because copper and plastic were art equivalents recognized.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 27-28 and 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2815

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN October 16, 2006.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER